arXiv:1404.6870v1 [math.AG] 28 Apr 2014

# A characterization of Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-folds with index $n$ and Fujita's conjecture*

Shoetsu Ogata† and Huai-Liang Zhao
Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University
Sendai 980-8578, Japan

April 29, 2014

**Abstract**

We give a characterization of Gorenstein toric Fano varieties of dimension $n$ with index $n$ among toric varieties. As an application, we give a stronger version of Fujita's freeness conjecture and also give a simple proof of Fujita's very ampleness conjecture on Gorenstein toric varieties.

## Introduction

A nonsingular projective variety $X$ is called *Fano* if its anti-canonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. For a Fano variety $X$ the number $i_X := \max\{i \in \mathbb{N}; -K_X = iD \text{ for ample divisor } D\}$ is called the *Fano index*, or simply *index*. Kobayashi and Ochiai [6] showed that a Fano variety $X$ of dimension $n$ with index $n+1$ is the projective $n$-space and that a Fano variety with index $n$ is the hyperquadric.

First, we give a characterization of the projective space among toric varieties.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14M25; Secondary 14J45, 52B20
†e-mail: ogata@math.tohoku.ac.jp

**Theorem 1** *Let $X$ be a projective toric variety of dimension $n$ ($n \geq 2$). We assume that there exists an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ with $\dim \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n} \otimes \omega_X) = 0$, where $\omega_X$ is the dualizing sheaf of $X$. Then $X$ is the projective space of dimension $n$.*

This is not a new result. If we consider the lattice polytope corresponding to a polarized toric variety $(X, L)$, this is a characterization of the basic lattice simplex treated by Batyrev and Nill [2, Proposition 1.4]. In this article, we use the normality of some multiple of lattice polytope in order to characterize Gorenstein toric Fano varieties. In our purpose, this characterization stated as Lemma 3 in Section 1 is essencial. Theorem 1 is obtained as Corollary 1 in Section 1.

We also give a characterization of a Gorenstein toric Fano variety of dimension $n$ with index $n$ among toric varieties.

**Theorem 2** *Let $X$ be a projective toric variety of dimension $n$ ($n \geq 2$). We assume that there exists an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ with $\dim \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n} \otimes \omega_X) = 1$. Then $X$ is a Gorenstein toric Fano variety of dimension $n$ with index $n$.*

Batyrev and Nill obtain a classification of lattice polytope $P$ of dimension $n$ such that the multiple $(n-1)P$ does not contain lattice points in its interior [2, Theorem 2.5]. This can be interpreted as a classification of polarized toric variety $(X, L)$ with $\Gamma(X, L^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \omega_X) = 0$. We do not use their classification but do an elementary argument about the shape of polytopes.

In this paper, we call a variety of dimension $n$, simply, an $n$-fold. We see that a Gorenstein toric Fano surface with index 2 is the quadratic surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. For higher dimension $n \geq 3$, we see that the weighted projective $n$-space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, \ldots, 2)$ is a Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n$. We also have another toric Fano $n$-folds with index $n$.

**Theorem 3** *Let $X$ be a Gorenstein toric Fano variety of dimension $n$ ($n \geq 2$) with index $n$. Let $D$ be an ample Cartier divisor on $X$ with $-K_X = nD$. Then $D$ is very ample and $(X, D)$ is a quadratic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ which is a cone over a plane conic, i.e., the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, \ldots, 2)$, or a cone over the quadratic surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$.*

We may expect the same characterization of a Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n-1$ among toric varieties for $n \geq 3$. We give a special case as Proposition 4 in Section 5.

**Theorem 4** *Let $X$ be a projective toric variety of dimension $n$ ($n \geq 3$). We assume that there exists an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ with $\dim \Gamma(X, L) = n+1$ and $\dim \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \omega_X) = 1$. Then $X$ is a Gorenstein toric Fano variety of dimension $n$ with index $n-1$.*

Batyrev and Juny [1] classified Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-folds with index $n-1$. For the proof of Theorem 4 we do not use the classification of Batyrev and Juny. We also remark that there exists a non-Gorenstein toric $n$-fold $X$ with the ample line bundle $L$ such that $\dim \Gamma(X, L) = n+1$ and $\dim \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \omega_X) = 1$ for every $n \geq 4$. We give examples in the last of this article.

As a corollary of above theorems, we obtain a strong version of Fujino's theorem[3] for Gorenstein toric varieties. This is given in Section 4. Theorem of this type is called "Fujita's freeness conjecture" [4] in general.

**Theorem 5** *Let $X$ be a Gorenstein projective toric variety of dimension $n$ ($n \geq 2$). We assume that $X$ is not the projective space, a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ nor a Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n$. If an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ satisfies that the intersection number with every irreducible invariant curve is at least $n-1$, then the adjoint bundle $L + K_X$ is nef.*

We also give a simple proof of the following theorem, which is a special case of theorem of Payne [10] for Gorenstein toric varieties. This is given in Section 5. Theorem of this type is also called "Fujita's very ampleness conjecture" in general.

**Theorem 6** *Let $X$ be a Gorenstein projective toric variety of dimension $n$ not isomorphic to the projective space. If an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ satisfies that the intersection number with every irreducible invariant curve is at least $n+1$, then the adjoint bundle $L + K_X$ is very ample.*

We note that the condition on the intersection number "at least $n+1$" is trivially best possible for Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-folds with index $n$. We remark, however, that even if we make an exception on $X$ as in Theorem 5, we cannot weaken the condition "at least $n+1$". We give examples in Section 5 for all dimension $n \geq 3$.

# 1 Toric Varieties and Lattice Polytopes

In this section, we recall the correspondence between polarized toric varieties and lattice polytopes and give criterions for polytopes to be basic.

Let $M = \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a free abelian group of rank $n$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ the extension of coefficients into real numbers. We define a *lattice polytope* $P$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ as the convex hull $P := \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ of a finite subset $\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ of $M$. We define the dimension of a lattice polytope $P$ as that of the smallest affine subspace containing $P$. We sometimes call an $n$-polytope a polytope of dimension $n$.

The space $\Gamma(X, L)$ of global sections of an ample line bundle $L$ on a toric variety $X$ of dimension $n$ is parametrized by the set of lattice points in a lattice polytope $P$ of dimension $n$ (see, for instance, Oda's book[9, Section 2.2] or Fulton's book[5, Section 3.5]). And $k$ times tensor product $L^{\otimes k}$ corresponds to the polytope $kP := \{kx \in M_{\mathbb{R}}; x \in P\}$. Furthermore, the surjectivity of the multiplication map $\Gamma(X, L^{\otimes k}) \otimes \Gamma(X, L) \to \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes (k+1)})$ is equivalent to the equality

$$(kP) \cap M + P \cap M = ((k+1)P) \cap M. \tag{1}$$

In particular, the dimension of the space of global sections of $L \otimes \omega_X$ is equal to the number of lattice points contained in the interior of $P$, i.e.,

$$\dim \Gamma(X, L \otimes \omega_X) = \sharp\{(\mathrm{Int}P) \cap M\}.$$

A lattice polytope $P$ is called *normal* if the equality (1) holds for all $k \geq 1$.

By using the generalized Castelnuovo's Lemma of Mumford [7], we can prove the following lemma. We can also prove this in terms of lattice polytopes.

**Lemma 1** *Let $P$ be a lattice polytope of dimension $n$. If there exists an integer $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ satisfying the condition that the multiple $rP$ does not contain lattice points in its interior, then the equality*

$$(kP) \cap M + P \cap M = ((k+1)P) \cap M$$

*holds for all integers $k \geq n - r$.*

Even if $P$ contains lattice points in its interior, we have a result of Nakagawa [8].

**Theorem 7 (Nakagawa[8])** *If a lattice polytope $P$ is dimension $n$, then the equality*

$$(kP) \cap M + P \cap M = ((k+1)P) \cap M$$

*holds for all integers $k \geq n-1$.*

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $M$. A lattice polytope is called *basic* if it is isomorphic to the $n$-simplex

$$\Delta_0^n := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$$

by a unimodular transformation of $M$. For a basic $n$-simplex $P$ we see that $\mathrm{Int}(nP) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $\sharp\mathrm{Int}((n+1)P) \cap M = 1$. A lattice polytope $P$ of dimension $n$ is called an *empty lattice $n$-simplex* if the set of lattice points in $P$ are only $n+1$ vertices.

**Lemma 2** *Let $P$ be an empty lattice $n$-simplex. If the multiple $(n-1)P$ does not contain lattice points in its interior, then it is basic.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 1, we see that $P$ is normal, that is, the equality (1) holds for all $k \geq 1$.

By taking a coordinates of $M$ so that the origin is a vertex of $P$, set $P = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$. Set the cone

$$C(P) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} m_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} m_n \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then the normality of $P$ implies that the semi-group $C(P) \cap M$ is generated by $m_1, \ldots, m_n$. This proves the Lemma. □

**Lemma 3** *Let $P$ be a lattice $n$-polytope. If the multiple $nP$ does not contain lattice points in its interior, then it is basic.*

*Proof.* Take a coordinates of $M$ so that the origin coincides with a vertex of $P$.

First we treat the case that $P$ is a lattice $n$-simplex $\mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$. If $P$ is an empty lattice simplex, then it is basic by Lemma 2. We assume that a face $F = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ has a lattice point $m$ in its relative interior. Then $m + m_{r+1} + \cdots + m_n$ is contained in the interior of $nP$. This contradicts to the assumption. If a face $F' = \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ contains an lattice point $m$ in its interior, then $m + m_{r+1} + \cdots + m_n$ is contained in the

interior of $nP$. Thus we see that $P$ is an empty lattice simplex if it is an lattice simplex.

Next we treat the general case. Let $C_0(P) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(P)$ be the cone of $P$ with apex 0. If a lattice point in $P$ is contained in the interior of the cone $C_0(P)$, then it is contained in the interior of $2P$.

When $n = 2$, we see $P$ is a triangle, hence, it is basic by the first part of the proof.

In general dimension $n \geq 3$, let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$ be the set of the end points of all edges of $P$ through the origin. We may assume that $\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ is linearly independent. Set $Q := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$. Then $Q$ is basic by the first part because $\dim Q = n$ and $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M = \emptyset$.

If $t > n$, that is, $P \neq Q$, then we have a facet, say, $F = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}\}$ of $Q$ which is not a facet of $P$. Since $\mathrm{Int}(n)F \cap M$ contains a lattice point, it would be a lattice point in the interior of $nP$. This contradicts to the assumption. Hence, we see that $t = n$, that is, $P$ is a lattice $n$-simplex. By the first part of this proof, it is basic. □

We may consider Lemma 3 as a characterization of the projective $n$-space among toric varieties.

**Corollary 1** *Let $X$ be a projective toric variety of dimension $n$ ($n \geq 2$). We assume that there exists an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ with $\dim \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n} \otimes \omega_X) = 0$. Then $X$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$.*

# 2 Gorenstein Fano with index $n$

In this section, we will give a characterization of a Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n$ among toric varieties.

Let $M$ be a free abelian group of rank $n \geq 2$ and $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ a lattice $n$-polytope. For a vertex $v$ of $P$, make the cone

$$C_v(P) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(P - v) = \{r(x - v) \in M_{\mathbb{R}}; r \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in P\}.$$

We call $P$ *Gorenstein at* $v$ if there exists a lattice point $m_0$ in $C_v(P)$ such that the equality

$$(\mathrm{Int}C_v(P)) \cap M = m_0 + C_v(P) \cap M$$

holds. We call $P$ *Gorenstein* if it is Gorenstein at all vertices.

We also define the notion of very ampleness. We call $P$ *very ample* at $v$ if the semi-group $C_v(P) \cap M$ is generated by $(P - v) \cap M$ and $P$ *very ample* if it is very ample at all vertices.

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $M$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} P_n : &= \mathrm{Conv}\{0, 2e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}, \\ Q_n : &= \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then they are a very ample Gorenstein polytopes and $\sharp\{\mathrm{Int}(nP_n) \cap M\} = \sharp\{\mathrm{Int}(nQ_n) \cap M\} = 1$. The polytope $P_n$ corresponds to the polarized variety $(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, \ldots, 2), \mathcal{O}(2))$. The polygon $Q_2$ corresponds to $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 1))$, and $Q_3$ corresponds to a cone over the quadratic surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$.

**Proposition 1** *Let $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a lattice $n$-polytope for $n \geq 2$ satisfying the condition $\sharp\{\mathrm{Int}(nP) \cap M\} = 1$. Then $P$ is isomorphic to $P_n$ or $Q_n$ by a unimodular transformation of $M$.*

For the proof we fix notations. Take a vertex $v \in P$. We may set so that the origin coincides with $v$ by a parallel transformation of $M$. Let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$ be the set of the end points of all edges through the origin and define as

$$Q := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_t\}. \tag{2}$$

Set $\{\tilde{m}\} = \mathrm{Int}(nP) \cap M$. We note that $(n-1)P$ does not contain lattice points in its interior because if $m' \in M$ is in the interior of $(n-1)P$, then $\{m' + m_i;\ i = 1, \ldots, t\}$ is in the interior of $nP$. Hence $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q \cap M = \emptyset$.

First consider the case when $n = 2$. Then $t = n = 2$. Since $\mathrm{Int}P \cap M = \emptyset$, we may set $m_1 = ae_1, m_2 = be_2$ with positive integers $a \geq b$. When $P$ is a triangle, we see that $a = b = 2$ or $b = 1$, and the condition $\sharp\{\mathrm{Int}(2P) \cap M\} = 1$ implies $a = 2, b = 1$. Thus $P \cong P_2$.

If $P$ is not a triangle, then $b = 1$ and $P$ is a quadrangle with the other vertex $ce_1 + e_2$ for $c \geq 1$. Since $2P$ contains only one lattice point in its interior, we see $a = c = 1$. This $P$ coincides with $Q_2$.

In the following we set $n \geq 3$.

**Lemma 4** *Let $Q$ be the $n$-polytope defined in* (2)*. Assume that* $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M = \{\tilde{m}\}$*. Then $Q$ is isomorphic to $P_n$ or $Q_n$.*

*Proof.* Since $\tilde{m}$ is contained in the interior of $C_v(P) = C_v(Q)$, by the Carathéodory's theorem we may choose $n$ elements from $m_1, \ldots, m_t$ such that the simplicial cone spanned by them contains $\tilde{m}$ and furthermore we can choose $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ so that the subcone spanned by them contains $\tilde{m}$ in its relative interior by renumbering.

Set $G := \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ and $\tilde{G} := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, G\}$. Then $\dim \tilde{G} = r$. Since $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)\tilde{G} \cap M = \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Int}(r+1)\tilde{G} \cap M \neq \emptyset$, we have $n-1 < r+1$, hence, $r \geq n-1$. We separate our argument into two cases.

The case (a): $r = n-1$. Since $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)\tilde{G} \cap M = \emptyset$, we see that $\tilde{G}$ is basic from Lemma 3. Let $H$ be the hyperplane in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing $\tilde{G}$. We can divide $Q$ into the union of two $n$-polytopes $Q_{(1)}$ and $Q_{(2)}$ separated by $H$. Since $\tilde{m} \in n\tilde{G}$ and $\sharp\{\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M\} = 1$, we see that $\mathrm{Int}(nQ_{(i)}) \cap M = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $Q_{(i)}$ is basic. We may set $m_j = e_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ so that $Q_{(1)} = \mathrm{Conv}\{\tilde{G}, e_n\}$, $Q_{(2)} = \mathrm{Conv}\{\tilde{G}, m_{n+1}\}$ and $t = n+1$. Furthermore, we set

$$m_{n+1} = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} e_{n-1} - e_n.$$

Since $Q$ is convex, we see that $a_i \geq 0$ and $1 \leq \sum_i a_i \leq 2$. If $a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$, then $m_1 = e_1$ would not be a vertex of $Q$. Hence, we have $a_1 = a_2 = 1, a_3 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$. If we set $e'_i = e_i - m_{n+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $e'_n = 0 - m_{n+1}$, then $e_n - m_{n+1} = e'_1 + e'_2$ and $\{e'_1, \ldots, e'_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $M$. Thus, we see that $Q$ is isomorphic to $Q_n$.

The case (b): $r = n$. If $t > n$, then there is a facet, say, $F := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}\}$ of $\tilde{G}$ which is not facet of $Q$, that is, the relative interior of $F$ is contained in the interior of $Q$. Since $\mathrm{Int}(nF) \cap M \neq \emptyset$, the interior of $nQ$ would contain lattice points more than one. Thus we have $t = n$.

Take the primitive elements $m'_i \in M$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ so that $m_i = a_i m'_i$ with positive integers $a_i$. Set $Q' := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m'_1, \ldots, m'_n\}$. We may set $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \geq 1$. For $2 \leq r \leq n-1$, set

$$F_r := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_r\}.$$

If $F_2$ contains lattice points in its relative interior, then the interior of $(n-1)Q$ would contain lattice points. Thus $a_1 = a_2 = 2$, or $a_2 = 1$. If $a_1 = a_2 = 2$, then $2F_2$ contains lattice points more than two in its relative interior, hence, the interior of $nQ$ would contain lattice points more than two. Thus we have $a_2 = 1$.

When $a_1 \geq 2$, set

$$G := \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1', m_2, \ldots, m_n\}.$$

Since the relative interior of $G$ is contained in the interior of $Q$ and $\dim G = n-1$, the interior of $(n-1)G$ does not contain lattice points, hence, $G$ is basic from Lemma 3. Since the number of lattice points in the interior of $nG$ is one, we see $a_1 = 2$. Set

$$\tilde{G} := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1', m_2, \ldots, m_n\}.$$

Since $n\tilde{G}$ could not contain lattice points in its interior, it is basic. Thus $Q$ is isomorphic to $P_n$.

When $a_1 = 1$, we see that $Q$ is not basic because of the assumption $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. If $Q$ is an empty lattice simplex, then the condition $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q \cap M = \emptyset$ implies that $Q$ is basic by Lemma 2. Thus there exists a lattice point $v$ in $Q$ other than its vertices. After renumbering, assume that $F_r$ contains $v$ with the smallest dimension. We note $F_r$ does not contain lattice points in its interior. Set

$$F_r' := \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}.$$

Since $v$ is contained in the relative interior of $F_r'$, it is contained in the relative interior of $2F_r$, hence it is contained in that of $(2+n-r)Q$. Thus we have $r = 2$. In this case, we see that $Q$ is isomorphic to $P_n$. □

*Proof of Proposition 1.* We separate our argument into two cases according to the existence of interior lattice points of $nQ$.

The case I: $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M = \emptyset$. Then $Q$ is basic by Lemma 3 and $P \neq Q$. Let $v'$ be a vertex of $P$ not contained in $Q$. If $v'$ is contained in the interior of the cone $C_v(P)$, then it would be contained in the interior of $2P$. Thus $v'$ is contained in the relative interior of a face cone

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}m_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}m_r$$

with $2 \leq r \leq n-1$ by renumbering if necessary. Let $G \subset P$ be the face containing $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ and $v'$. Then $v'$ is contained in the relative interior of $2G$ and $v' + m_{r+1} + \cdots + m_n$ is also contained in the interior of $(n-r+2)P$, hence, $r \leq 2$ and $r = 2$. Since $(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)P) \cap M = \emptyset$, the face $G$ does not

contain lattice points in its relative interior. Since $\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $M$, we can write as

$$v' = am_1 + m_2$$

with a positive integer $a$. If $a \geq 2$, then the number of lattice points in the interior of $nP$ would be more than one. Thus $a = 1$ and $P$ is isomorphic to $Q_n$.

The case II: $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Since $\sharp\{\mathrm{Int}(nP) \cap M\} = 1$, we have $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M = \{\tilde{m}\}$. If $P \neq Q$, then there exists a facet $F$ of $Q$ which is not a facet of $P$. Since $\mathrm{Int}(nF) \cap M \neq \emptyset$, they would be contained in the interior of $nP$ and does not coincide with $\tilde{m}$, which contradicts to the assumption. Thus we have $P = Q$. This case has been proved in Lemma 4. $\square$

We note that Proposition 1 is an interpretation of Theorems 2 and 3 in terms of lattice polytopes.

# 3 A certain class of Gorenstein $n$-polytopes

In this section, we determine an $n$-polytope $P$ such that $(n-1)P$ does not contain lattice points in its interior. This polytope will play an important role in the next section.

We recall that a basic $n$-simplex is isomorphic to

$$\Delta_0^n = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}.$$

Now for positive integers $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ set

$$R_n := \mathrm{Conv}\{\Delta_0^{n-1}, e_1 + a_1 e_n, \ldots, e_{n-1} + a_{n-1} e_n, a_n e_n\}.$$

Then $R_n$ is a nonsingular $n$-polytope and $(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)R_n) \cap M = \emptyset$. We also know $(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)P_n) \cap M = (\mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q_n) \cap M = \emptyset$.

**Proposition 2** *Let $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Gorenstein $n$-polytope for $n \geq 3$ satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)P) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $(\mathrm{Int}(nP)) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Then $P$ is isomorphic to $P_n$, $Q_n$ or $R_n$ by a unimodular transformation of $M$.*

As in the proof of Proposition 1, we take a vertex $v$ of $P$ so that $v$ coincides with the origin 0 of $M$. Since $P$ is Gorenstein, the cone $C_v(P) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(P - v)$ contains the lattice point $m_0$ in its interior such that

$$(\mathrm{Int}C_v(P)) \cap M = m_0 + C_v(P) \cap M. \tag{3}$$

Let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$ be the set of the end points of all edges through the vertex $v = 0$ and $Q := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$.

We give a lemma useful in the following sections.

**Lemma 5** *Let $Q$ be the $n$-polytope defined above. Assume that $\mathrm{Int}(rQ) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n$. Then $m_0$ is contained in the interior of $rQ$.*

*Proof.* Since $m_0$ is contained in the interior of the cone $C_v(Q)$, by the Carathéodory's Theorem we can choose $n$ elements from $m_1, \ldots, m_t$ such that the simplicial cone spanned by them contains $m_0$. By renumbering, we assume that the cone $C(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ spanned by $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ contains $m_0$. Let $\nu \in M^\star_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the rational point in the dual space to $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the hyperplane $H_1 := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}}; \langle \nu, x\rangle = 1\}$ contains all $m_1, \ldots, m_n$. We note that the parallel hyperplane $H_0 = \{\langle \nu, x\rangle = 0\}$ touches the cone $C_v(Q)$ at one point 0, in particular, we have $\langle \nu, x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in C_v(Q)$.

Set $\tilde{m} \in \mathrm{Int}(rQ) \cap M$. Then $\langle \nu, \tilde{m}\rangle < r$. If $m_0$ is not contained in the interior of $rQ$. then $\langle \nu, m_0\rangle \geq r$. Since $C_v(Q)$ is Gorenstein at 0, there exists $m' \in (nQ) \cap M$ such that $\tilde{m} = m_0 + m'$ by the equation (3). But $\langle \nu, m'\rangle < 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus we have $m_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(rQ)$. □

We also define a Gorenstein Fano $n$-polytope $Q'_n$ isomorphic to $Q_n$ as

$$Q'_n := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_1 + e_2 - e_n\}.$$

**Lemma 6** *Let $Q$ be the $n$-polytope defined above. Assume that $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q \cap M = \emptyset$ and that $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q$ is isomorphic to $P_n$ or $Q'_n$.*

*Proof.* Since $m_0$ is contained in the interior of $C_v(P)$, as in the proof of Lemma 4 we can choose $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ so that the simplicial cone spanned by them contains $m_0$ in its relative interior by renumbering.

Set $G := \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ and $\tilde{G} := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, G\}$. Then we have $r = n - 1$ or $r = n$. We separate our argument into two cases.

The case (a): $r = n-1$. Since $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)\tilde{G} \cap M = \emptyset$, we see that $\tilde{G}$ is basic from Lemma 3. We note that $m_0 = m_1 + \cdots + m_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Int}(n\tilde{G}) \subset \mathrm{Int}(nQ)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4, take the hyperplane $H$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing $\tilde{G}$, and divide $Q$ into the union of two $n$-polytopes $Q_{(1)}$ and $Q_{(2)}$ separeted by $H$.

If $\mathrm{Int}(nQ_{(i)}) \cap M = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $Q = Q'_n \cong Q_n$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.

Assume that $\mathrm{Int}(nQ_{(1)}) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Set $\tilde{m} \in \mathrm{Int}(nQ_{(1)}) \cap M$. Since $Q$ is Gorenstein at 0 and since $m_0$ is contained in the boundary of $(n-1)\tilde{G}$, hence,

in that of $(n-1)Q_{(1)}$, there exists $m' \in (Q_{(1)} \setminus H) \cap M$ with $\tilde{m} = m_0 + m'$. Consider the $n$-polytope $R = \mathrm{Conv}\{m', \tilde{G}\}$. We see that $\tilde{m}$ is not contained in the interior of $nR$. Since $\tilde{m}$ is in the interior of $nQ_{(1)}$, the lattice point $m'$ must be contained in the interior of $Q_{(1)}$. This is a contradiction. Thus we see that $Q_{(i)}$ are basic.

The case (b): $r = n$. From Lemma 5, we have $m_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(n\tilde{G})$. We note that $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)\tilde{G} \cap M = \emptyset$ by assumption.

First, consider the case that $t = n$, that is, $Q = \tilde{G}$. We claim that $\mathrm{Int}(n\tilde{G}) \cap M = \{m_0\}$. If $\tilde{m} \in M$ is a lattice point in the interior of $n\tilde{G}$ other than $m_0$, then there would exist a lattice point $m' \in \tilde{G}$ with $\tilde{m} = m_0 + m'$ and $m'$ would be in the interior of $\tilde{G}$. This contradicts to the assumption. We confirm the claim. In this case, we know that $Q = \tilde{G} = P_n$ from Lemma 4.

Next, consider the case $t > n$. Then there is a facet, say, $F_{n-1} := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}\}$ of $\tilde{G}$ which is not a facet of $Q$ and is basic because of $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)F_{n-1} \cap M = \emptyset$. We can choose a new $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{e'_1, \ldots, e'_n\}$ of $M$ as $m_i = e'_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $m_n = a_1 e'_1 + \cdots + a_n e'_n$ with $a_i \geq 0$. We note that $a_n \geq 2$ because $\tilde{G}$ is not basic. Since $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)\tilde{G} \cap M = \emptyset$, we see that $\tilde{G}$ is normal from Lemma 1. Thus $\tilde{G}$ contains a lattice point $u$ whose $n$-th coordinate is one.

Let $\tilde{F}'_r := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_{r-1}, m_n\}$ be the face containing $u$ with the smallest dimension. If $u$ is in the relative interior, then we see $r = 1$ by the assumption. Then $m_n = a_n e'_n$ and $u = e'_n$. In this case, $\tilde{G}$ is nonsingular at 0 and $m_0 = m_1 + \cdots + m_{n-1} + e'_n \in \mathrm{Int}(n\tilde{G}) \cap M$. The point $m_1 + \cdots + m_{n-1}$ is contained in the interior of $nQ$. The equation (3) implies that $-e'_n \in Q$, which contradicts to that 0 is a vertex of $Q$.

If $u$ is in the relative interior of the face $F'_r := \mathrm{Conv}\{m_1, \ldots, m_{r-1}, m_n\}$ of $\tilde{F}'_r$, then $r = 2$ and $m_n = e'_1 + a_n e'_n$, $u = e'_1 + e'_n$. When $a_n = 2$, $\tilde{G}$ is Gorenstein at 0 and coincides with $P_n$, hence, $m_0 = m_2 + \cdots + m_{n-1} + u \in \mathrm{Int}(n\tilde{G}) \cap M$. Since $m_1 + \cdots + m_{n-1}$ is in $\mathrm{Int}(nQ)$, the point $-e'_n$ is contained in $Q$. This contradicts to that $m_1$ is a vertex of $Q$.

In the case that $a_n \geq 3$, we can decompose $\tilde{G}$ into a union of $a_n - 1$ basic $n$-simplices with vertices $\{e'_2, \ldots, e'_{n-1}, e'_1 + (j-1)e'_n, e'_1 + je'_n\}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, a_n - 1$. If $m_0$ is in the relative interior of the cone of dimension $n-1$ say, $C(e'_2, \ldots, e'_{n-1}, e'_1 + je'_n)$, then it contradicts by the above reason. If $m_0$ is contained in the interior of the cone of one on these $n$-simplices, say, $C(e'_2, \ldots, e'_{n-1}, e'_1 + (j-1)e'_n, e'_1 + je'_n)$. Then $m_0 = e'_2 + \cdots + e'_{n-1} + (e'_1 + (j-1)e'_n) + (e'_1 + je'_n)$. In this case, it contradicts to that the cone is strictly

convex. Thus the case that $t > n$ does not occur. $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.* We separate our argument into two cases according to the existence of interior lattice points of $nQ$.

The case I: $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M = \emptyset$. Then $Q$ is basic and $P \neq Q$. Let $v'$ be a vertex of $P$ not contained in $Q$. As in the proof of Proposition 1, we may set $m_i = e_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and we can write as

$$v' = ae_1 + e_2$$

with a positive integer $a$. We note that $m_0 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$.

Set

$$G := \mathrm{Conv}\{v', e_2, \ldots, e_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G} := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, G\}.$$

Then $m_0$ is contained in the relative interior of $(n-1)G$ and in the interior of $n\tilde{G}$. In other words, $P$ is included in the prism written as $x_1 \geq 0, \ldots, x_n \geq 0, x_2 + \cdots + x_n \leq 1$ by using the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

If $v'$ is the only vertex of $P$ other than $m_1, \ldots, m_n$, then $a = 1$ and $P$ is isomorphic to $Q_n$ otherwise the vertex $m_3$ is not Gorenstein.

Let $v_j = a_j e_1 + e_j$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$ be vertices of $P$. We may set $a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \geq 0$ and $a_3 \geq 1$. If $a_{n-1} \geq 1$ and $a_n = 0$, then the vertex $m_n$ is not Gorenstein. Thus $P \cong R_n$.

The case II: $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \neq \emptyset$. Lemma 6 shows that $m_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(nQ)$ and that $Q$ coincides with $Q'_n$ (the case (a)) or $P_n$ (the case (b)). We have to consider the case that $P \neq Q$.

In the case (a) we have

$$Q = Q'_n = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_1 + e_2 - e_n\}.$$

We note that $n+1$ vertices of $Q'_n$ except 0 are on a hyperplane. Set $\tilde{F} = \mathrm{Conv}\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_1 + e_2 - e_n\}$. Since it is not simplex, $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)\tilde{F} \cap M \neq \emptyset$. If $P \neq Q$, then $\tilde{F}$ is not a facet of $P$. This contradicts to $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)P \cap M = \emptyset$. Thus $P = Q$.

In the case (b) we have

$$Q = P_n = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, 2e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}.$$

We note that $m_0 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n \notin (n-1)P_n$. Consider the prism $(x_1 \geq 0, \ldots, x_n \geq 0, x_2 + \cdots + x_n \leq 1)$ by using the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. The point $m_0$ is contained in the boundary of the $(n-1)$-tuple of the prism. We see that if $P \neq Q$, then $P \cong R_n$ as in the case I. $\square$

# 4 Fujita's freeness conjecture

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 5, which is a strong version of Fujino's Theorem[3] but restricted to Gorenstein toric varieties.

We recall the construction of the polarized toric $n$-fold $(X, L)$ from a lattice $n$-polytope $P$ (see, for instance, [9] or [5]). For simplicity, we assume that all toric varieties are defined over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Let $N$ be a free abelian group of rank $n$ and $M$ the dual with the natural pairing $\langle , \rangle : M \times N \to \mathbb{Z}$. Let $T_N := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be the algebraic torus of dimension $n$. Then the group of characters $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(T_N, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ can be identified with $M$ and we have $T_N = \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}[M]$. Let $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a lattice $n$-polytope. From $P$ we construct a polarized toric $n$-fold $(X, L)$ satisfying the equality

$$\Gamma(X, L) \cong \bigoplus_{m \in P \cap M} \mathbb{C}\ e(m), \tag{4}$$

where we write as $e(m)$ the character corresponding to a lattice point $m \in M$. Since $X$ contains $T_N$ as an open subset, we can consider $e(m)$ as a rational function on $X$.

For a vertex $v$ of $P$, let $\sigma(v) \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the cone dual to the cone $C_v(P) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(P - v) \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\Phi$ be the set of all faces of cones $\sigma(v)$ for all vertices of $P$. The $\Phi$ is a complete fan in $N$ and defines a toric variety $X$ of dimension $n$. We note that $X$ is covered by affine open sets $U_v := \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}[M \cap C_v(P)]$. Here we define a line bundle $L$ so that

$$\Gamma(U_v, L) = e(v)\mathbb{C}[M \cap C_v(P)].$$

Then $L$ is generated by global sections and ample. By definition $L$ satisfies (4).

Furthermore, we assume that $X$ is Gorenstein. For each vertex $v$, then, the cone $C_v(P)$ contains the lattice point $m_v$ satisfying the equality

$$(\mathrm{Int}C_v(P)) \cap M = m_v + C_v(P) \cap M. \tag{5}$$

Thus we see that $L + K_X$ is generated by global sections if $P$ contains all $m_v$ in its interior.

**Proposition 3** *Let $X$ be a projective Gorenstein toric $n$-fold with $n \geq 2$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$ satisfying the condition that $\Gamma(X, L + K_X) \neq 0$ and that the intersection number $L \cdot C \geq n - 1$ for all irreducible invariant curves $C$. Then $L + K_X$ is nef.*

*Proof.* Let $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the lattice $n$-polytope corresponding to $L$. The condition $\Gamma(X, L + K_X) \neq 0$ is interpreted to $\mathrm{Int}P \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Since $P$ is Gorenstein, for each vertex $v$ of $P$, there exists the lattice point $m_v$ satisfying the equation (5). From the above observation, it is suffice to show that the lattice points $m_v$ are contained in the interior of $P$ for all vertices $v$.

As in the proof of Proposition 1, we take a vertex $v$ of $P$ so that $v$ coincides with the origin 0 of $M$. Let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$ be the set of the nearest points on all edges through the vertex $v = 0$ and $Q := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$. Then $(n-1)Q$ is contained in $P$ because all edges of $P$ have length at least $n-1$. If $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q \cap M \neq \emptyset$, then we see that $m_v \in \mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q \subset \mathrm{Int}P$ by Lemma 5. We assume that $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)Q \cap M = \emptyset$.

If $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M = \emptyset$, then $Q$ is basic, hence, $t = n$ and we may set $m_i = e_i$ with a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $M$. Then we have $m_v = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. Let $m'$ be a lattice point in the interior of $P$ different from $m_v$. Then since $\mathrm{Conv}\{(n-1)e_1, \ldots, (n-1)e_n, m'\}$ contains $m_v$, the polytope $P$ contains $m_v$ in its interior.

If $\mathrm{Int}(nQ) \cap M \neq \emptyset$, then $Q$ is not basic and $m_v \in \mathrm{Int}(nQ)$ by Lemma 5. From Lemma 6, we see that $Q$ is $Q'_n$, that is, $n \geq 3$, $t = n+1$ and $m_i = e_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $m_{n+1} = e_1 + e_2 - e_n$ for a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $M$. In particular, $Q$ has the facet $F$ containing all $m_i$'s and $m_v = e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1}$. Since $m_v \in \mathrm{Int}(n-1)F$, the condition $\mathrm{Int}P \cap M \neq \emptyset$ implies that $(n-1)Q \neq P$ and $m_v \in \mathrm{Int}P$. □

By combining Propositions 2 and 3 and Lemma 3, we obtain the proof of Theorem 5.

# 5 Fujita's very ampleness conjecture

In this section we will give a proof of Theorem 6. Let $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Gorenstein lattice $n$-polytope corresponding to $L$. As in the previous section, for a vertex $v$ of $P$ denote $m_v$ the lattice point satisfying the equation (4). As in the proof of Proposition 3, we take a vertex $v$ of $P$ so that $v$ coincides with the origin 0 of $M$. Let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$ be the set of the nearest points on all edges through the vertex $v = 0$ and $Q := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_t\}$. We know that $m_v$ is contained in the interior of $(n+1)Q$.

If $\mathrm{Int}(r-1)Q \cap M = \emptyset$ and if $\mathrm{Int}(rQ) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for some $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq n$,

then $m_v \in \mathrm{Int}(rQ)$ by Lemma 5 and $(n+1-r)Q$ is normal by Lemma 1 and

$$m_v + (n+1-r)Q \subset \mathrm{Int}(n+1)Q \subset \mathrm{Int}P.$$

This implies that $L + K_X$ is very ample on the affine open set $U_v$.

Assume that $\mathrm{Int}(n+1)Q \cap M = \emptyset$. Then $Q$ is basic, $t = n$ and $m_i = e_i$ for a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $M$. In particular, $Q$ is normal and $m_v = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. Let $F$ be the facet of $Q$ containing all $e_i$. Then all $m_v + e_i$ are contained in the relative interior of $(n+1)F$. Since $P \neq (n+1)Q$ by assumption, all $m_v + e_i$ are contained in the interior of $P$. This implies that $L + K_X$ is very ample on this $U_v$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

We remark that the condition $L \cdot C \geq n+1$ is best possible for all dimension $n \geq 2$. A Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n$ corresponding to $P_n$ or $Q_n$ trivially attains the bound for all $n \geq$ ".

Besides Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n$, we also have a Gorenstein toric Fano $n$-fold with index $n-1$ which attains the bound "$n+1$".

For $n \geq 3$, we define a lattice $n$-simplex as

$$D_n := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2 + 2e_3, e_4, \ldots, e_n\}.$$

Then it is not very ample and satisfies $\mathrm{Int}(n-2)D_n \cap M = \emptyset$ and $\sharp(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)D_n) \cap M = 1$, hence, $2D_n$ is normal. If we denote by $m_0$ the unique interior lattice point of $(n-1)D_n$, then we have

$$m_0 + (2D_n) \cap M = \mathrm{Int}(n+1)D_n \cap M.$$

Payne has pointed out the case $n = 3$ in [10].

We can characterize $D_n$ among polarized toric varieties as the following way.

**Proposition 4** *Let $D$ be an empty lattice $n$-simplex for $n \geq 3$. Assume that $\sharp(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)D) \cap M = 1$. Then $D$ is isomorphic to $D_n$.*

*Proof.* We note that $D$ is not basic.

Consider the case $n = 3$. An empty lattice 3-simplex $D$ is written as

$$D = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, e_2, e_1 + pe_2 + qe_3\}$$

with $1 \leq p < q$ and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ by [9]. Then $\sharp\mathrm{Int}(2D) \cap M = q - 1$. Hence $q = 2$ and $D \cong D_3$.

Set $n \geq 4$. We may write as

$$D = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$$

for linearly independent $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in M$.

First we assume that the facet $F = \mathrm{Conv}\{0, m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}\}$ is basic, that is, $m_i = e_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then $\mathrm{Int}(n-2)F \cap M = \emptyset$. We may write as

$$m_n = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n$$

with $a_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $a_n \geq 1$. Set $H$ the hyperplane containing $F$. Moreover, we assume that all facets of $D$ are basic. Then the $n$-th coordinates of lattice points in $(n-2)D \setminus H$ are at least $a$. On the other hand, since $\sharp(\mathrm{Int}(n-1)D) \cap M = 1$, $(n-2)D$ does not contain lattice points in its interior, hence, $2D$ is normal by Lemma 1. Since $2 \leq n-2$, $(n-2)D$ is also normal, hence, there exists a lattice point in it whose $n$-th coordinate is 1. Then $a = 1$. This implies that $D$ is basic and contradicts to the assumption. Then we see that at least one facet of $D$ is not basic.

Next, if the facet $F$ is not basic, then $(n-2)F$ contains lattice points in its interior, in fact, interior lattice point is unique point in $\mathrm{Int}(n-1)D$. By induction on $n$, we see that the facet $F$ is isomorphic to $D_{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}(F \cap M) = \mathbb{Z}e_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}e_{n-1}$. Since $2D$ is normal, we can take $m_n = e_n$, hence, $D \cong D_n$. $\square$

We remark that "$n-1$" in Proposition 4 is essential. For $n \geq 4$, we define a lattice $n$-simplex as

$$P := \mathrm{Conv}\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + 3e_n\}.$$

We note that $P$ is not Gorenstein. Set $m' := e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1} + 2e_n$. Then we see that $\mathrm{Int}(n-2)P \cap M = \{m'\}$.

# References


[1] V. Batyrev and D. Juny, Classification of Gorenstein toric Del Pezzo varieties in arbitrary dimension, Mosc. Math. J. 10 (2010), 285–316.

[2] V. Batyrev and B. Nill, Multiples of lattice polytopes without interior lattice points, Mosc. Math. J. 7 (2007), 195–207.

[3] O. Fujino, Notes on toric varieties from Mori theoretic view point, Tohoku Math. J. 55 (2003), 551–564.

[4] T. Fujita, On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semi-positive, Algebraic Geometry Sendai, 1985, 167–178, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[5] W. Fulton, Introduction to toric varieties, Ann. of Math. Studies No. 131, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.

[6] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1973), 31–47.

[7] D. Mumford, Varieties defined by quadratic equations, In: Questions on Algebraic Varieties, Corso CIME 29–100(1969).

[8] K. Nakagawa, Generators for the ideal of a projectively embedded toric varieties, thesis Tohoku University, 1994.

[9] T. Oda, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry, Ergebnisse der Math. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1988.

[10] S. Payne. Fujita's very ampleness conjecture for singular toric varieties, Tohoku Math. J. 58 (2006), 447–459.